

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/066,651	02/06/2002	Joo-Seon Kim	Q64314	4497	
75	90 06/01/2005		EXAM	INER	
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC			TORRES, JOSEPH D		
Suite 800			ADTIBUT	DADED MINORD	
2100 Pennsylva	nia Avenue, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, DC 20037-3213			2133		
			DATE MAILED: 06/01/200	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief					

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/066,651	KIM, JOO-SEON	
Francisca	A 4 11 14	
Examiner	Art Unit	

Defense the Fillian of an Annual Brief			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph D. Torres	2133	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 CF	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A			
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	•	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Offic te of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	. , ,	he issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	,		
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 	:·		ŕ
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	•	•	-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will not be entered, or b) will will will will will will wi	il be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>17</u> . Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-16,19-22 and 28-36</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>23-27</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	vit or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	^	•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.			ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/09-0r/PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
		logoph D. Torres D	1k D

Joseph D. Torres, PhD Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2133

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant contends, "Massoudi's correction engine 608 uses syndromes, generated by the on-the-fly row correction circuitry 410 and column and EDC syndrome generator circuitry 412, to "generate an 'error value' and 'error location' for the row or column associated with the syndrome" (column 9, lines 43-46). The generated error value is then used by either the on-the-fly row correction circuitry 410 or the correction circuitry 602 to correct the errors (column 9, lines 46-49). The on-the-fly row correction circuitry 410 and the correction circuitry 602 do not calculate error location/values. Instead, only Massoudi's correction engine 608 calculates an error value and error location. On- the-fly correction circuitry 410 and correction circuitry 602 merely use the error location/values generated by the correction engine 608 (see column 9, lines 43-49)". The Examiner disagrees and asserts that the Applicant's contention is absurd for various reasons. First of all, column 9, lines 31-49 of Massoudi teach that Massoudi's correction engine 608 receives only column syndromes and uses the column syndromes to generate error location and error values for the column codewords whereas Steps 504 and 506 teach that on-the-fly row error correction takes place well before column syndromes are even calculated; hence column error location and error values are not even available during on-the-fly row error correction. Second of all, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have recognized that row error values cannot be used to correct row codewords since they are two different codes and column error location and error values are specific to column codewords, not row codewords.

The Applicant contends, "Examiner also asserts that the decoding and correction of Reed-Solomon error correction codes inherently requires steps for determining the error value and location in order for a codeword to be corrected".

The Examiner challenges the Applicant to explain how a row codeword can be corrected without knowing the value and row location of the error. The Examiner asserts that is how error correction is performed, by knowing where the error is and what the value should be..